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Abstract
Aims: The aim of this study was to identify potential disparities between Point-Of-Care Testing (POCT) and laboratory hemoglobin HbA1c reporting at a Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC).

Methods: The electronic medical record was reviewed to identify POCT HbA1c done at a FQHC and centralized laboratory HbA1c performed on the same day. Manual data extraction was used to identify potential variables that could account for disparities between POCT and laboratory testing.

Results: A total of 42 samples in 40 patients were identified. The median HbA1c difference was 1.5mmol/mol (0.15%) and ranged from -26-52 mmol/mol (-2.4 to 4.8%). Of the patients in the study, two had underlying co morbidities that could affect the POCT HbA1c.

Conclusion: Point-of-care HbA1c testing should not be used in solidarity to diagnosis pre-diabetes and diabetes. When using HbA1c results to guide therapy, self-monitoring of blood glucose and symptoms of both hypo- and hyperglycemia should be correlated to help determine appropriate therapy.
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Abstract
Aims: The aim of this study was to identify potential disparities between Point-Of-Care Testing (POCT) and laboratory hemoglobin HbA1c reporting at a Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC).

Methods: The electronic medical record was reviewed to identify POCT HbA1c done at a FQHC and centralized laboratory HbA1c performed on the same day. Manual data extraction was used to identify potential variables that could account for disparities between POCT and laboratory testing.

Results: A total of 42 samples in 40 patients were identified. The median HbA1c difference was 1.5mmol/mol (0.15%) and ranged from -26-52 mmol/mol (-2.4 to 4.8%). Of the patients in the study, two had underlying co morbidities that could affect the POCT HbA1c.

Conclusion: Point-of-care HbA1c testing should not be used in solidarity to diagnosis pre-diabetes and diabetes. When using HbA1c results to guide therapy, self-monitoring of blood glucose and symptoms of both hypo- and hyperglycemia should be correlated to help determine appropriate therapy.

Introduction
HbA1c is recommended for screening, diagnosis, and monitoring diabetes [1-2].Point-of-care testing in the primary care setting has increased the number of documented HbA1c since the patient medical record for between allowing and providers to make preventative or therapeutic interventions[3]. However, disparities between POCT HbA1c and laboratory measurements in a controlled environment have been reported to be as high as 5 mmol/mol (0.4%) [4-5]. The impact of this may result in misdiagnosis and/or overly aggressive treatment increasing the risk for medication adverse effects. The American Diabetes Association recommends that if POCT is used for diagnostic purposes, the results should be confirmed by repeat testing unless the patient is experiencing overt signs of hyperglycemia[2].Since use of POCT HbA1c began at this FQHC in 2011, several providers noticed disparities between testing performed onsite and laboratory. The purpose of this paper was to identify any HbA1c variances in a real world setting between POCT and laboratory testing.

Methods
Design
This is a retrospective review of the electronic medical record. Point-of-care HbA1c testing with DCA™ Vantage (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) began in May 2011. Reagent cartridges are stored and calibrated after each new lot number is received per manufacturer specifications. Three of our nine practice sites utilize on site POCT HbA1c. Each utilizes the same equipment, policies and procedures to ensure ongoing quality control. A report from May 2011 through May 2016 was created to identify patients who had both POCT and central laboratory HbA1c performed on the same day. Any patients that had a POCT HbA1c performed at one of the three locations that use onsite testing were eligible for inclusion. Results were excluded if both onsite and central laboratory tests were not performed on the same day. This study was Institutional Review Board approved.

Sample
Our center is a FQHC. It provides a broad range of health services to the area’s inner city communities. Approximately 15% of the 750,000 residents in our county live below the poverty level. Additionally, our practice site provides care to a large number of refugee patients from several continents.
Data analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the information gathered from the medical record. Point-of-care testing HbA1c results +/- 5 mmol/mol (0.5%) from centralized laboratory results are considered clinically significant differences based on previous publications.[6] Patient records for these charts were reviewed manually to identify variables that are known to influence POCT HbA1c testing.[7] Evaluation of POCT technique in the pre- and post-analytic phases was not available.

Results

A total of 42 POCT HbA1c’s were performed on the same day (Figure 1). Fourteen samples showed a clinical significant difference of ≥5 mmol/mol (0.5%) ranging from -26-52 mmol/mol (-2.4 to 4.8%) (Table 1). The median difference was 1.5 mmol/mol (0.15%). The most significant changes were observed in patients with HbA1c laboratory values above 86 mmol/mol (10%). Between the three different sites, the number of clinically significant differences for Site 1 was eight. For Sites 2 and 3 the number was four and two respectively. Site 1 receives roughly the same volume of patients as Sites 2 and 3 combined. Each clinically significant difference was performed at least one month apart. A total of seven different staff members performed the onsite testing that resulted in a clinically significant difference, two of whom were connected to two differences each. Information on staff members for five draws was not able to be determined due to inadequate documentation. Two patients each had their blood drawn on the same day on two separate occasions. Neither resulted in a clinically significant difference between results. The decision to perform both tests is not readily available as a comparator potentially influencing the variability in results. In the underlying pathology as with a shorter red blood cell life span those that elevate it may falsely elevate values.[11-12] Two patients that elevate it may falsely elevate values.[11-12] Two patients had thalassemia. One patient did not have significant difference while the other resulted in a significant difference, two of whom were connected to two differences each. Information on staff members for five draws was not able to be determined due to inadequate documentation. Two patients each had their blood drawn on the same day on two separate occasions. Neither resulted in a clinically significant difference between results. The decision to perform both tests is not readily available as a comparator potentially influencing the variability in results.

Discussion

HbA1c testing in patients with diabetes is recommended quarterly for uncontrolled patients and at least annually for patients who are currently meeting their glycemic goals.[1-8] When POCT HbA1c testing is used for diagnostic purposes confirmation should be performed at a laboratory that is NGSP certified with standardized DCCT assays due to the potential for errors with POCT. In our study POCT and laboratory testing occurred on the same day 42 times. The decision to perform both tests is not readily available as a comparator potentially influencing the variability in results. In confirmingatory testing was not indicated per guidelines and DCA Vantage specifications. Laboratory errors are well documented in the literature and have the potential to result in significant patient harm. [9] A majority of errors occur in the pre- and post-analytical phase. [10] Point-of-care-testing may be particularly prone to errors relative to central laboratory testing due to less stringent performance criteria allowed for POCT. At our center each site delegates quality assurance procedures to a licensed practical nurse who ensures consistency with policies, procedures, and manufacturer specifications. The LPN checks to make sure the equipment is in working order, HbA1c cartridges are in date, and appropriately calibrated for specific lot numbers. Quality assurance log books were reviewed to identify potential temporal relationship between machine maintenance, cartridge lot calibration, or any other issues that may have cause disparate results. None were identified. All LPNs as part of their on boarding process receive initial training on DCA TM Vantage (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) POCT HbA1c machines and required to undergo an annual competency to maintain proficiency. The DCA TM Vantage (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) POCT HbA1c machines accurately measure ranges between 4-130 mmol/mol (2.5-14%).[7] It does this by measuring total HbA1c concentrations in addition to total hemoglobin concentrations (%A1C = [A1C] / [Hgb] x 100). Factors that influence the lifespan of red blood cells (hemolytic anemia, thalassemia) can result in lower than expected HbA1c results while those that elevate it may falsely elevate values.[11-12] Two patients had thalassemia. Only one had clinical significant differences between results. The POCT test was 0.7% higher and cannot be explained by the underlying pathology as with a shorter red blood cell life span theHbA1c result should have been falsely low. There were several limitations to our study. First, collection and processing technique of samples for HbA1c POCT was not available. This is significant as laboratory errors most often occur in the pre- and post-analytic phases with POCT. We reviewed the electronic medical record to identify staff performing tests who may be routinely associated with discrepancies. However this data was incomplete preventing adequate analysis. Furthermore numerous central laboratory sites were used as a comparator potentially influencing the variability in results. In

Table 1: Difference of ≥5 mmol/mol (0.5%) ranging from -26-52 mmol/mol (-2.4 to 4.8%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HbA1c Discrepancy ≥5 mmol/mol (0.5%)</th>
<th>POCT HbA1c (mmol/mol)</th>
<th>Laboratory HbA1c (mmol/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-26 (-2.4)</td>
<td>123 (13.4)</td>
<td>97 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18 (-1.6)</td>
<td>60 (7.6)</td>
<td>42 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15 (-1.3)</td>
<td>71 (8.6)</td>
<td>56 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10 (-0.9)</td>
<td>90 (10.4)</td>
<td>80 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7 (-0.7)</td>
<td>44 (6.2)</td>
<td>37 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (0.5)</td>
<td>39 (5.7)</td>
<td>44 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (0.5)</td>
<td>62 (7.8)</td>
<td>67 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (0.6)</td>
<td>73 (8.8)</td>
<td>79 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (0.6)</td>
<td>50 (6.7)</td>
<td>56 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (0.7)</td>
<td>67 (8.3)</td>
<td>75 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (0.7)</td>
<td>61 (7.7)</td>
<td>68 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (0.8)</td>
<td>50 (6.7)</td>
<td>59 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 (2.6)</td>
<td>46 (6.4)</td>
<td>75 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 (4.8)</td>
<td>38 (6.5)</td>
<td>100 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Fourteen samples showed a clinical significant.
addition the impact on patient safety is unknown. Escalation or de-
escalation of glycemic therapy and the direct impact on patients’
blood glucose could not be correlated due to the retrospective nature
of our study.

**Conclusion**

This study highlights the potential misleading POCT HbA1c results
in the primary care setting. Several patient specific factors are known
to influence testing but could not fully explain our discordant results.
In a controlled setting the DCA™ Vantage (Siemens Healthcare
Diagnostics) displays a high degree of accuracy. The standard
deviation between POCT and control groups ranged from 0.9-1.2
mmol/mol (0.18-0.39%) in validation studies thus onsite testing
procedure may be the most likely reason for the different results
noted in our study. Providers should correlate POCT HbA1c with
clinical findings and home blood glucose testing when diagnosing
and adjusting therapies for diabetes.
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